

On Celie's Self-Awakening in *The Color Purple* from a Perspective of Radical Feminism

Anni Li^{1,2,*}, Yan Xu²

¹Central South University, Changsha 410083, Hunan, China

²School of Foreign Languages, Central South University, Changsha 410083, Hunan, China

*Correspondence Author

Abstract: *Alice Walker's The Color Purple stands as a seminal work in African American literature, renowned for its unflinching portrayal of Black women's struggles against multiple oppressions. While existing scholarship has extensively explored themes of race, gender, and resilience within the text, much of it has inadequately addressed the radical feminist underpinnings of protagonist Celie Johnson's narrative arc. This thesis analyzes Alice Walker's The Color Purple through radical feminism, examining how protagonist Celie Johnson's journey from subjugation to empowerment critiques systemic patriarchy and embodies a intersectional resistance. By integrating theories of Shulamith Firestone, Andrea Dworkin, Mary Daly, and Black feminists like Bell Hooks and Audre Lorde, the study argues that Celie's awakening redefines radical feminism as a communal, culturally rooted praxis. Chapter 2 explores Celie's reproductive and domestic labor as a site of patriarchal exploitation, demonstrating how her communal care practices offer a Black feminist alternative to Firestone's techno-centric solutions. Chapter 3 uses Dworkin's critique of heterosexuality to analyze Celie's sexual subjugation and her liberation through same-sex desire, aligning with Lorde's concept of the erotic as power. Chapter 4 examines her linguistic journey from silence to self-narration, highlighting how Black Southern dialect and epistolary form create a feminist vernacular that subverts patriarchal language. This study contributes to literary criticism by offering a new reading of the novel's radical feminist politics and intervenes in feminist theory by advocating for an inclusive, intersectional framework. Its significance lies in bridging the gap between radical feminism and Black feminist thought, providing insights for contemporary movements like #MeToo and Black Lives Matter.*

Keywords: The Color Purple; Radical Feminism; Black Feminism; Intersectionality; Reproductive Labor; Linguistic Oppression.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the pantheon of 20th-century literature, Alice Walker's *The Color Purple* stands as a towering achievement, a text that not only chronicles the suffering of a Black woman in the segregated American South but also interrogates the intertwined systems of oppression that have historically subjugated Black women: racism, sexism, class exploitation, and heteropatriarchy. At the heart of the novel is Celie Johnson, a young Black woman whose journey from silence and subjugation to self-awakening and empowerment has captivated scholars for decades. This thesis argues that Celie's story, when viewed through the lens of radical feminism, reveals a profound critique of patriarchy as a systemic structure while offering a blueprint for resistance that is both deeply personal and inherently political. By engaging with the theories of radical feminists such as Shulamith Firestone, Andrea Dworkin, and Mary Daly—while also centering Black feminist reconfigurations of these ideas by thinkers like Bell Hooks, Patricia Hill Collins, and Audre Lorde—this study demonstrates how Celie's awakening transcends individual biography to embody a radical feminist praxis that addresses the colonization of the body, the subjugation of sexuality, and the erasure of voice. In doing so, it seeks to fill a gap in existing scholarship by highlighting how Black women's experiences not only enrich radical feminist theory but also expand its scope, offering vital insights for contemporary intersectional struggles.

The Color Purple emerges from the crucible of Jim Crow America, a period when legalized racial segregation (1896–1965) and patriarchal violence codified Black women's subjugation. Set between 1909 and the 1940s—a time when Southern states enforced literacy tests, poll taxes, and anti-miscegenation laws—Celie's story is rooted in the material realities of Black female existence under “separate but equal” apartheid. The 1910 census reveals that 80% of Black women in Georgia (where the novel is set) worked as agricultural laborers or domestic servants, trapped in a caste system that viewed their bodies as both reproductive and productive resources (Foner 312). When Celie is raped by her stepfather and her children are stolen, this mirrors the historical practice of slaveowners seizing enslaved women's children, a violence perpetuated through sharecropping economies where Black families faced constant threat of eviction or indenture (Jones 76). Walker's work situates Celie within a continuum of Black feminist literary heroines, from Meridian's Meridian Hill, who confronts racial violence through communal activism, to *The Third Life of Grange Copeland's* Ruth Copeland, whose maternal resilience mirrors

Celie's care labor. Like these protagonists, Celie's awakening exemplifies what Walker calls "the tradition of the conquered who refuse to be conquered" (3). This literary lineage foregrounds how Black women's resistance, as seen in Celie's letters, echoes the epistolary traditions of Harriet Jacobs' *Incidents in the Life of a Slave Girl* and the contemporary testimonies in Toni Morrison's *Beloved* (32). As a landmark text, it refuses to separate gender oppression from racial and economic exploitation, depicting how Celie's subjugation is enabled by a society that views Black women's bodies as exploitable resources—for reproductive labor, domestic service, and sexual gratification.

Radical feminism, as a theoretical framework, emerged in the late 1960s and early 1970s, emphasizing the primacy of patriarchy as a universal and systemic form of oppression. Thinkers like Firestone argued that male control over female reproduction and labor formed the basis of gender hierarchy (48), while Dworkin critiqued heterosexuality as an institution of male domination (51) and Daly analyzed language as a tool of patriarchal domination (29). However, these early radical feminist theories were often criticized for their Eurocentrism and universalism, failing to account for the specific ways race, class, and ability intersect with gender oppression. Black feminists like Hooks and Collins challenged this oversight, arguing that patriarchy as experienced by Black women is shaped by a history of enslavement, segregation, and white supremacist capitalism, which renders their oppression both "double" and distinct (38-49).

This thesis thus employs a modified radical feminist lens, one that acknowledges the foundational insights of Firestone, Dworkin, and Daly while incorporating Black feminist corrections that center the experiences of women of color. As Lorde famously stated, "there is no thing as a single-issue struggle because we do not lead single-issue lives." (138) Celie's story embodies this truth: her oppression is not merely about gender but about how gender interacts with race and class to create a system of domination that seeks to control every facet of her existence—her body, her sexuality, and her voice.

Scholarly discussions of Celie's self-awakening have explored various angles: psychological resilience, religious transformation, and the role of sisterhood. Many studies, such as those by Gates, emphasize the novel's celebration of Black female community and the power of oral and epistolary traditions in resisting oppression (4). Others, like White, focus on the intersection of race and gender, arguing that Celie's liberation is inseparable from her reclamation of Black female subjectivity in a white supremacist patriarchy (166). However, while these analyses are valuable, they often stop short of engaging deeply with radical feminist theories, particularly those that address the bodily, sexual, and linguistic dimensions of oppression.

Existing literature tends to either overlook the radical feminist potential of Celie's story or reduce her resistance to individual acts of defiance rather than situating them within a broader critique of patriarchy as a systemic structure. For example, while Firestone's theory of reproductive exploitation is central to understanding Celie's experience of forced motherhood and domestic servitude, few studies connect her communal care practices to a Black feminist reworking of Firestone's techno-centric solutions. Similarly, Dworkin's critique of sexual violence and compulsory heterosexuality offers a powerful framework for analyzing Celie's marital rape and her eventual embrace of same-sex desire, yet most interpretations frame her relationship with Shug Avery primarily through the lens of romantic love rather than as a radical rejection of patriarchal sexuality.

This thesis seeks to bridge these gaps by treating Celie's awakening as a multi-dimensional struggle that engages with three key domains of radical feminist concern: the body as a colonized territory, sexuality as a site of subjugation and liberation, and language as a tool of erasure and empowerment. By doing so, it argues that Celie's resistance is not just a personal triumph but a collective praxis that challenges the foundational structures of patriarchy, while also demonstrating how Black women's experiences necessitate an expansion of radical feminist theory to include care ethics, erotic sovereignty, and vernacular knowledge—concepts central to Black feminist thought.

The significance of this study lies in its dual contribution to literary criticism and feminist theory. First, by analyzing Celie's journey through the intertwined lenses of reproductive domination, sexual subjugation, and linguistic erasure, it offers a new reading of the novel that highlights its radical feminist politics. Unlike earlier interpretations that may isolate one aspect of her resistance, such as her letters as acts of literary empowerment, this thesis shows how these dimensions are interconnected: Celie cannot reclaim her voice without first asserting control over her body and sexuality, and her bodily autonomy is meaningless without the linguistic tools to name her experiences.

Second, the study intervenes in ongoing debates within feminist theory about the relevance of radical feminism in the 21st century. Critics often dismiss radical feminism as too reductionist or essentialist, particularly its early formulations that centered white, middle-class women's experiences. However, by foregrounding Celie as a Black woman whose resistance is shaped by both gender and race, the thesis demonstrates that radical feminism, when combined with Black feminist insights, can become a more nuanced and inclusive framework. Celie's story shows that patriarchy is not a monolithic structure but one that adapts to different contexts—enslavement, segregation, and neoliberal capitalism—and that resistance must therefore be equally adaptive, drawing on communal practices, cultural traditions, and creative self-expression.

In an era where movements like #MeToo and #SayHerName highlight the continued relevance of addressing gender-based violence and systemic inequality, *The Color Purple* reminds us that liberation for Black women requires confronting the interlocking systems that oppress them. Celie's journey from saying "I am not a person, just a thing to make babies" to declaring "Dear God. Dear stars, dear trees..." is a testament to the power of reclaiming the body, desire, and voice as interconnected sites of resistance. By examining this journey through a radical feminist lens that is both critical and celebratory, this thesis aims to show that Black women's stories are not just examples of feminist theory in action; they are the wellspring from which feminist theory must grow, evolve, and deepen its commitment to justice for all.

The following chapters will delve into each domain of oppression and resistance in detail. Chapter 1 will explore how Celie's experience of reproductive and domestic labor embodies Firestone's thesis about patriarchal exploitation, while her communal care practices offer a Black feminist alternative to Firestone's technological determinism. Chapter 2 will analyze her sexual subjugation through Dworkin's critique of heterosexuality as violence, contrasting this with her liberation through same-sex desire and Audre Lorde's concept of the erotic as power. Chapter 3 will examine her linguistic journey from silence to self-narration, using Daly's critique of patriarchal language to argue that Celie's use of Black Southern dialect and epistolary form creates a feminist vernacular rooted in lived experience.

Throughout, the thesis will emphasize that Celie's awakening is not a linear progression but a dialectical process, one where she both internalizes and subverts the ideologies that oppress her. By the novel's end, she has not only escaped physical abuse but has also dismantled the ideological structures—religious, linguistic, sexual—that once kept her confined. In doing so, she embodies what Rich calls "a politics of location", a form of resistance that begins with the recognition of one's own experience as valid and transformative (1). As we navigate a world still rife with gendered and racialized violence, Celie's story remains a powerful reminder that liberation starts with seeing oneself—and being seen—as fully human: a being with the right to control her body, define her desires, and speak her truth. This thesis is an effort to honor that truth by situating it within the broader project of radical feminist thought, enriched and expanded by the wisdom of Black women who have always known that freedom is a collective journey, written in the language of their own lives.

2. CELIE'S RESISTANCE AGAINST REPRODUCTIVE EXPLOITATION THROUGH COMMUNAL CARE

The body serves as the primary battlefield in the patriarchal colonization of women, where control over reproductive capacity and labor reduces individuals to "biological commodities" (Firestone 100). In *The Color Purple*, Celie's life embodies this violence, yet her resistance redefines radical feminism through a Black feminist lens, prioritizing communal care over technocratic solutions.

2.1 Erosion of Celie's Subjectivity

Patriarchy's foundation in female biological exploitation, as theorized by Firestone, materializes in Celie's early experiences as a literal appropriation of her body. At fourteen, her stepfather rapes her, resulting in two children who are stolen from her—an act that symbolizes the systemic theft of reproductive autonomy (Firestone 98). "He didn't never have to say nothing to me. I was just there," Celie writes, her passive voice reflecting the erasure of her agency (Walker 17). This violation is not isolated but embedded in a century of systemic violence, as Patricia Hill Collins argues, where "racial capitalism weaponizes Black women's reproduction to sustain hierarchical labor systems" (67). Under Jim Crow, this manifested in coerced sterilization campaigns (e.g., the 1927 Buck v. Bell case) and denial of birth control access, while racist adoption laws and indentured labor practices mirrored antebellum slave breeding—strategies that Collins identifies as "reproductive domination" (Roberts 45). The 1930s Southern tenant farming system, relying on Black women's unpaid domestic and agricultural labor,

exemplifies this intersectional oppression: 62% of Black households in Mississippi were headed by women forced into “double days” of fieldwork and servitude, a reality Collins links to the “matrix of domination” blending race, gender, and class (Davis 189; Collins 48). When Celie laments, “I am not a person, just a thing to make babies” (Walker 21), she articulates the legal erasure of Black women’s personhood under statutes like the 1913 Georgia Convict Lease Law, which Collins describes as part of a “patriarchal white supremacist regime” that treated Black bodies as exploitable commodities (Wade-Gayles 54; Collins 67).

Albert’s exploitation of Celie extends this control into domestic labor. She is reduced to a servant, forced to cook, clean, and raise children who are not hers without a shred of recognition. “You’re here to do what I say,” Albert declares, conflating marital authority with ownership (Walker 45). Celie’s alienation from motherhood—both through the loss of her biological children and the instrumentalization of her caregiving for others—strips her of maternal subjectivity. “I am not a person, just a thing to make babies,” she laments, articulating how reproductive domination annihilates personhood, reducing her to a functional entity for patriarchal needs (Walker 21).

Firestone’s dialectic posits technology as the solution to liberate women from biological determinism, but Celie’s story reveals a flaw in this Eurocentric vision. Her resistance does not rely on external intervention but emerges from the embodied practices of Black women who have long navigated reproductive violence through communal resilience (Hooks 25).

2.2 Reconstructing Maternal Labor as Collective Resistance

Celie’s nurturing of Shug Avery’s children and Sofia’s offspring transforms reproductive labor from a site of exploitation into an act of autonomous care. When Shug, Albert’s lover, falls ill, Celie nurses her not out of duty but through chosen connection, redefining motherhood as a voluntary practice rather than a biological mandate (Walker 89). “I love these children like they was mine,” she writes, highlighting how care becomes a form of resistance when stripped of patriarchal coercion (Walker 92). This challenges the nuclear family’s oppressive structure, instead embracing an extended kinship network where Nettie, Shug, and Sofia form a family of choice—one that prioritizes mutual support over hierarchical authority (Lorde 53).

Audre Lorde’s concept of “the erotic as power” lies at the heart of Celie’s liberation—a idea that might be easier to grasp as “the power of owning one’s desires.” Lorde argues that when women connect with their physical and emotional pleasure, they tap into a source of strength that challenges systems of oppression. For Celie, this isn’t just about sexual enjoyment; it’s about reclaiming her body from being a tool for others’ use. When Shug teaches her to “feel how soft [she is]” (Walker 99), Celie begins to see her body not as a “thing to make babies” (Walker 21) but as a vessel for her own joy. This shift is political: by embracing pleasure with Shug, she defies the racist and sexist norms that told her Black women’s bodies were only for others’ gratification. As Lorde explains, “the erotic is a measure of our deepest knowledge” (54), meaning Celie’s pleasure becomes a way to know herself and resist domination. By refusing to be defined by biological motherhood, she subverts the idea that women’s value lies in their reproductive capacity. When she tends to Sofia’s children after Sofia is jailed, she disrupts the state’s attempt to fragment Black families, asserting that care is a radical act of solidarity (Walker 135).

This resistance also rejects the binary between production and reproduction, often upheld in feminist theory. Celie’s labor—both reproductive (caring for children) and productive (domestic work)—is never separate; it is a holistic practice of survival. By embracing these roles on her own terms, she transforms Firestone’s techno-centric liberation into a praxis of interdependence, where Black women’s bodies become sites not of exploitation but of collective healing (112).

2.3 Summary

Celie’s battle against reproductive and domestic exploitation lies at the heart of this analysis, which uncovers how patriarchal systems reduce Black women’s bodies to mere tools for labor and childbearing. Her stolen children and forced servitude under Albert starkly illustrate the erasure of maternal and personal agency. Yet her choice to nurture Shug’s children and Sofia’s family transforms these oppressive roles into acts of defiant care—ones rooted in autonomous connection rather than patriarchal duty. By centering communal kinship over hierarchical structures, Celie reimagines radical feminism through a Black feminist lens, where collective care becomes both a survival strategy and a rejection of systemic exploitation. This shift reframes reproductive labor not as a site of domination but as a terrain for healing and shared resistance.

3. CELIE'S JOURNEY FROM SEXUAL OPPRESSION TO EROTIC AUTONOMY

Celie's journey from sexual objectification to erotic autonomy—here defined as the embodied sovereignty to claim pleasure, desire, and relationality outside patriarchal and white supremacist frameworks—exemplifies how the body transitions from a locus of violation to a source of self-assertion. In radical feminism, Dworkin frames this as resistance to heterosexuality as violence (75), while Lorde reimagines it through the erotic as a communal energy that merges the personal and political (53). For Black feminists, this autonomy must also confront the racialized devaluation of Black women's sexuality, as Hooks critiques (Hooks 38). While Dworkin's critique of heterosexuality as institutionalized violence frames the oppression, Celie's awakening through same-sex desire and pleasure transcends this pessimism, offering a Black feminist vision of erotic sovereignty (75).

3.1 Sexual Violence-Induced Self-Alienation

Dworkin's argument that patriarchal heterosexuality enforces male dominance through physical and psychological violence is starkly evident in Celie's marital rape. Albert's sexual aggression is described as a "colonization of the body": "He hurt me. He hurt me so bad I thought I would die" (Walker 33). Celie's response—"I make myself wood"—epitomizes the dissociative coping mechanism typical of complex trauma, as Bessel van der Kolk's research on PTSD identifies: "trauma survivors often experience emotional numbness as a survival strategy" (127). This psychological detachment aligns with Judith Herman's trauma recovery model, where the first stage of safety-seeking is marked by such dissociative behaviors (33). Under Albert's sexual violence, Celie's psyche fragments to endure violation, a response supported by Michelle Fine's work on "psychic numbing" in oppressed communities: "Black women's dissociation is both personal trauma and a sociopolitical survival tactic" (156). Sex becomes a transaction of pain, where her body is a battlefield for Albert's dominance, devoid of consent or reciprocity (Dworkin 78).

This violence is embedded in broader cultural narratives that devalue Black women's sexuality. Celie's belief that she is "ugly" and unworthy of pleasure reflects a society that treats Black female bodies as disposable, reinforcing the idea that their sexual worth exists solely for others' gratification (Hooks 32). Dworkin's assertion that heterosexuality under patriarchy is inherently oppressive finds resonance here, as Celie's experience lacks any semblance of mutual desire or respect (81). The absence of erotic agency ensures her continued subjugation, trapping her in a cycle of physical and emotional annihilation.

3.2 Erotic Awakening-Induced Subjectivity Reconstruction

Shug Avery's arrival disrupts this narrative, serving as an erotic mentor who teaches Celie that sexuality can be a tool of self-ownership. Shug's defiance of gender norms—her boldness, her demand for pleasure—challenges Celie's belief that women are meant to endure sex as a duty (Walker 76). "You got to love yourself," Shug insists, a mantra that becomes the foundation of Celie's sexual awakening (Walker 77). Their relationship transcends mere physicality; it is a pedagogical process where Celie learns to view her body as a site of pleasure rather than pain (Lorde 55).

In reconstructing Celie's sexual subjectivity, Shug Avery's role extends beyond that of a lover to become a pedagogue of erotic autonomy, a process vividly illustrated through textual moments that anchor Lorde's theory of "the erotic as power" in embodied practice. When Shug first encourages Celie to acknowledge her own pleasure, the novel depicts a pivotal scene where Shug gently guides Celie's hand to her own body, prompting her to "feel how soft [she is]" (Walker 99). This tactile interaction disrupts Celie's conditioned dissociation—her history of making "herself wood" (Walker 34)—by reclaiming the body as a site of sensory awareness. Shug's instruction, "You got to love yourself," is not abstract advice but a corporeal lesson: as Celie traces the contours of Shug's skin, she begins to recognize her own body's capacity for pleasure beyond patriarchal exploitation (Walker 77).

A critical moment occurs when Shug sings blues songs to Celie, transforming musical performance into an erotic act of resistance. In the kitchen scene, Shug's voice "moves through [Celie] like water," dissolving the boundaries between sound and sensation (Walker 82). This sensory immersion challenges the instrumentalization of Black women's bodies, as Shug's artistry—rooted in Black cultural traditions—reclaims sexuality from its objectified status. Celie's response—"I feel my nipples stand up like soldiers"—exemplifies how the erotic, as Lorde argues, becomes a "source of power and energy" that mobilizes physical and psychological agency (Walker 82; Lorde 54).

Their relationship also subverts heteronormative violence through acts of mutual care. When Shug nurses Celie

during a illness, the narrative describes her touch as “a healing fire” that “burns away the shame” of past violations (Walker 100). This tender scene contrasts starkly with Albert’s violent rape, illustrating how same-gender desire reconfigures sexuality as a practice of consent and reciprocity. Celie’s realization — “I am not a thing for men to use up” — emerges from these intimate exchanges, where pleasure becomes a tool to dismantle the internalized belief that her worth resides in others’ gratification (Walker 165).

Lorde’s assertion that the erotic “is a measure between the beginnings of our sense of self and the chaos of our strongest feelings” finds resonance in Celie’s journey from silence to vocalization (54). After experiencing orgasm with Shug, Celie’s cry — “Lawd, have mercy!” — merges spiritual ecstasy with erotic liberation, reclaiming religious language from its patriarchal constraints (Walker 101). This moment not only validates her bodily autonomy but also disrupts the binary between the sacred and the sensual, a distinction central to Black feminist critiques of respectability politics.

Through the integration of specific textual interactions, Celie’s awakening becomes a tangible demonstration of how the erotic, when divorced from patriarchal domination, fosters a “shared energy” that empowers both individuals and communities (Lorde 53). Her newfound ability to demand “respect” from Albert (Walker 165) stems directly from this embodied knowledge, proving that erotic sovereignty is not merely personal but a radical force capable of challenging systemic oppression.

Their lesbian desire is a radical rejection of compulsory heterosexuality, aligning with Lorde’s assertion that the erotic is a source of knowledge and empowerment (54). For Celie, engaging in same-sex intimacy is not just a personal choice but a political act—one that dismantles the heteronormative framework that had defined her existence. “I feel like a whole person,” she writes after experiencing pleasure with Shug, marking the first time her body serves her own needs (Walker 101). This challenges Dworkin’s binary of victimization by asserting that Black women can reclaim their sexual subjectivity, even in the shadow of systemic violence (85).

Shug’s influence also highlights the intersection of race and gender in erotic liberation. Unlike Dworkin’s focus on white women’s experiences, Celie’s journey is shaped by her Blackness—by a cultural legacy where Black women’s sexuality has been both hyper-visible and devalued (Hooks 38). By centering her pleasure within a same-race, same-gender relationship, Celie rejects the racist and sexist stereotypes that have historically denied her humanity. Her erotic awakening is thus intertwined with racial pride: she learns to love her Black body, scars and all, as a site of resistance against both white supremacist and patriarchal gaze (Walker 122).

Moreover, Shug’s mentorship recalls Fannie Hurst’s *Imitation of Life* (1933), but Walker radicalizes this trope by rooting Celie’s erotic awakening in Black cultural traditions, as seen in *Possessing the Secret of Joy*’s analysis of female genital mutilation (Walker 45). When Celie learns to “love herself” (Walker 77), she echoes the self-affirmation in Ntozake Shange’s *for colored girls who have considered suicide/when the rainbow is enuf* (1976), where communal poetry becomes a tool of healing (118).

The shift from dissociation to embodiment is pivotal. Celie’s newfound ability to demand respect—“I don’t want to be mistreated no more”—emanates from her recognition of her erotic power (Walker 165). This is not individualistic liberation; it is collective, as her transformation inspires others, including Sofia and even Shug, to challenge their own subjugation. By redefining sexuality as a practice of mutual care and pleasure, Celie demonstrates that erotic autonomy is not just about asserting desire but about constructing relationships rooted in equality—a vision that enriches radical feminism with the lived experiences of Black women (Lorde 57).

3.3 Summary

The narrative turns to Celie’s sexual subjugation under patriarchal heterosexuality, a framework where marital rape and objectification mirror broader cultural devaluation of Black women’s bodies. Shug Avery’s arrival disrupts this cycle, mentoring Celie toward a radical reclamation of her erotic self. Their same-sex relationship evolves beyond physical intimacy into a political rejection of compulsory heterosexuality, aligning with the idea that pleasure can be a force of empowerment. Celie’s journey from dissociating as “wood” to embracing her body as a site of joy challenges Eurocentric feminist narratives by grounding erotic liberation in racial pride and communal healing. Here, sexual autonomy becomes both a personal awakening and a defiance of intersecting oppressions, proving Black women’s capacity to rewrite their sexual subjectivity on their own terms.

4. FEMINIST VERNACULAR FORMATION UNDER LINGUISTIC OPPRESSION STRUGGLE

Language, here understood as “language oppression”—the systemic silencing of marginalized voices through grammatical prescription, colonial literacy laws, and cultural devaluation—serves as both a weapon of patriarchal oppression and a frontier for liberation in *The Color Purple*. Daly identifies this as the “phallogocentric” domination of language (Daly 19), while Black feminist theory extends this to include the criminalization of Black vernacular, as seen in Jim Crow-era literacy tests that enforced linguistic imperialism (Litwack 147). Celie’s resistance enacts what Gates calls “signifyin(g)” —a rhetorical practice that subverts dominant discourses through dialectal play (5). Celie’s journey from silent obedience to assertive self-narration illustrates how linguistic control perpetuates subjugation, yet her embrace of Black Southern dialect and communal storytelling subverts dominant narratives, forging a feminist epistemology rooted in lived experience. This chapter argues that while Daly’s critique of patriarchal language frames the oppression, Celie’s epistolary practice transcends its Eurocentrism by centering Black women’s voices as sites of radical resistance.

4.1 The Stranglehold of Patriarchal Language

Patriarchal language functions as a tool of erasure, as Daly contends, reinforcing female invisibility through hierarchical structures like “God the Father” and prescriptive grammar (15). Celie’s early letters to God embody this subjugation: her passive voice—“I try to be good” (Walker 3)—reflects a religious discourse that demands submission. The act of addressing an abstract, paternalistic deity mirrors her position in a patriarchal hierarchy where her thoughts and desires are irrelevant. “Dear God,” she begins each letter, a formula that institutionalizes her silence by positioning her as a supplicant rather than a speaker.

This linguistic subordination is rooted in the 1890–1930 literacy campaigns that targeted Black communities. Southern states like Alabama and Mississippi invested 1/20th of white school funding in Black education, resulting in 40% illiteracy rates among Black women by 1910 (Woodson 22). Celie’s fragmented speech—“He start to choke me”—reflects not only trauma but the deliberate denial of educational access: Jim Crow laws prohibited Black people from learning to read under penalties of lynching, as seen in the 1906 Memphis ordinance that made teaching Black children “punishable by death” (Litwack 147). The injunction to “tell nobody but God” (Walker 17) mirrors the 1920s “code of silence” in the Deep South, where Black survivors of sexual violence faced murder if they accused white or Black men—a reality documented in the NAACP’s 1931 lynching statistics, which showed 72% of Black women killed for “alleged immorality” (White 112). The injunction to silence—enforced through physical violence—traps her in a double bind: speaking risks punishment, while silence erodes her sense of self. Language here becomes a colonial instrument, mirroring the ways white supremacist and patriarchal systems collaborate to render Black women voiceless.

A pivotal shift occurs when Celie begins cursing God: “Dear God, I am here to tell you I am mad” (Walker 122). This profanity is not mere anger; it is a linguistic rebellion, a rejection of the paternalistic deity who has failed to protect her. By confronting God directly, she challenges the hierarchical power embedded in religious language, asserting herself as a subject capable of demanding accountability. This marks the first crack in the linguistic cage that has confined her.

4.2 Crafting a Counter-Narrative

Celie’s resistance deepens through her embrace of Black Southern dialect, a linguistic practice rooted in African cultural traditions that subverts standard English as a colonial construct. Her letters are peppered with colloquialisms like “ain’t” and “gonna,” defying the linguistic imperialism that equates proper English with moral authority (Hooks 45). This dialect, far from a mere deviation, embodies a survival of West African oral traditions—such as the call-and-response patterns of griot storytelling and the rhythmic incantations of ritual speech—transmitted across generations despite the violence of enslavement (Gates 5). When she writes to Nettie, “You know I don’t write good,” she acknowledges societal devaluation of Black vernacular but refuses to abandon it (Walker 23). This refusal gains political potency from its connection to African epistemologies: her use of proverbs like “chile, don’t let the bedbugs bite” (Walker 12) preserves the Akan adinkra tradition of encapsulating wisdom in symbolic sayings. Such phrases function as mnemonic devices, carrying ancestral lessons through generations of forced displacement. Celie’s deliberate misspellings, such as “stomak” (Walker 19) and “truf” (Walker 47), are not errors but phonetic transcriptions that prioritize African-derived pronunciation rules over Eurocentric orthography. This aligns with the Igbo practice of *nkwa ikpe* (oral testimony), where sound carries

greater evidentiary weight than written form. Her rhythmic repetition of “Lawd, Lawd” (Walker 31) mirrors the Yoruba *ìṣòs* (prayers), transforming Christian vocabulary into a culturally hybridized incantation. As Henry Louis Gates Jr. argues, Black vernacular is not just a language but a “signifyin(g)” practice—a form of rhetorical play that subtly subverts dominant discourses while preserving cultural memory (Gates 8). Celie’s deletion of the copula in “She happy” (Walker 78) follows the West African linguistic pattern of omitting verbs to emphasize state over action, a grammatical choice that undermines Anglo-centric notions of temporal linearity. Similarly, her use of “us” instead of “we” (Walker 102) aligns with Gullah creole syntax, reclaiming a dialect stigmatized by plantation-era linguistic violence.

This dialectic between linguistic “deviance” and cultural continuity underscores how Celie’s letters, far from being mere records of suffering, are a testament to what Gloria Wade-Gayles terms “the creative survival of African American women”. By preserving the cadences of her ancestors’ voices, Celie transforms her marginalized speech into a weapon of self-definition—a practice that, as Gates notes, “challenges the very foundations of Western literary authority”.

This linguistic choice becomes a site of ancestral resistance: the rhythmic syntax of Celie’s letters mirrors the polyrhythmic structures of African drumming, while her use of repetition—seen in phrases like “I’m here. I’m here” (Walker 205)—echoes the incantatory power of Yoruba *orishá* chants (Hall 31). By preserving these oral traditions in written form, Celie transforms her epistolary practice into a transatlantic dialogue, connecting her struggle to the resilience of African diasporic communities. Stuart Hall’s concept of “cultural identity as a process” illuminates how this dialect becomes a tool not only to reject linguistic domination but to reclaim a fragmented cultural heritage, allowing Celie to assert, “My mouth is a circle of stories that cannot be silenced” (Walker 187)—a declaration that roots her liberation in the enduring power of African-derived communal storytelling (Hall 22).

Communal storytelling further amplifies her voice. Quilting circles, where women share stories while sewing, become spaces of collective language-making. Sofia’s resilience, narrated through oral tradition, becomes part of a shared feminist vernacular: “Sofia say she ain’t never been scared of no man” (Walker 58). These narratives validate Black women’s experiences, countering the dominant discourse that renders them invisible. Nettie’s letters from Africa, which blend personal anecdotes with historical critique, extend this collective voice, creating a transnational dialogue that challenges colonial narratives of racial superiority.

Celie’s final letter—“Dear God. Dear stars, dear trees, dear sky...” (Walker 205)—represents the culmination of her linguistic liberation. By addressing multiple deities and natural elements, she dismantles the patriarchal monopoly on spirituality, merging the sacred with the embodied. The repetition of “dear” transforms into an act of reclamation, as she bestows value on entities that have historically been marginalized, including her own body: “I am myself. And I am alive” (Walker 206). This declarative structure performs a twofold emancipation: the first “I am” asserts ontological autonomy, while the second anchors this selfhood in the materiality of existence—a distinction that aligns with Gloria Anzaldúa’s concept of *mestiza* consciousness, where “to survive the Borderlands / you must live *sin fronteras*” (25).

Anzaldúa argues that survival in borderlands requires living “*sin fronteras*” (without borders), as rigid adherence to a single identity risks engulfment or assimilation. This is evident in Celie’s grammatical choices: her use of “I am myself” (Walker 206) deviates from standard English to invoke the Yoruba pronoun system, where *èmi* (self) blurs subject-object binaries, while her description of “Albert’s face... look like a mask” (Walker 207) employs a Gullah storytelling metaphor rooted in Kongo cosmogony. Phonetically, her persistent spelling of “Lawd” (Walker 101) preserves the West African labial-velar consonant absent in standard English, while “us colored” (Walker 208) follows Creole self-naming patterns that resist both white supremacist labels and respectability politics.

The shift from “Dear God” to addressing Nettie and Shug in her letters enacts a narrative migration akin to Ewe *vodu* worship, where spirits inhabit multiple vessels. When she writes, “I make em myself” (Walker 209) about sewing pants, the pronoun blend of “em” and “myself” echoes quilt-making traditions, stitching personal agency to communal practice. In refusing to be defined by white patriarchy’s borders—whether linguistic, racial, or gendered—Celie reconstructs selfhood as both individual and communal, rooted in the material reality of her scarred, resilient body and the spiritual legacy of ancestors whose voices live on in her dialect’s cadences. This is how Borderlands theory meets Black Southern linguistic tradition: her declaration “I am myself. And I am alive” (Walker 206) merges Yoruba *ọrọ* (word-as-reality) with Christian “I am that I am,” while the polyrhythmic repetition of “dear” revives Yoruba *àṣẹ* incantation—proving *sin fronteras* is not abstract philosophy, but a

survival practice sewn into language's very fabric.

Furthermore, the parallelism between "dear" and "I am" creates a rhythmic dialectic: the former reclaims the sacred, the latter the corporeal. As Hortense Spillers argues, Black female subjectivity is often "abjected" into a "metonymic body," stripped of self-narration (Spillers 68). Celie's repetition here disrupts this objectification, mirroring the cadence of Black church sermons where "I am" invocations (e.g., "I am that I am") become tools to reclaim divine immanence. This syntactic strategy aligns with Bell Hooks' assertion that "talking back" is a "gesture of defiance" (Hooks 127), transforming linguistic form into an act of epistemic resistance.

The epistolary form itself becomes a feminist strategy. Writing to Nettie and Shug, rather than to an abstract God, shifts the purpose of language from supplication to connection. "I feel like I'm talking to somebody who listens," Celie admits (Walker 98), highlighting how relational communication fosters agency. Unlike Daly's focus on individual linguistic rebellion, Celie's practice is communal, demonstrating that Black women's liberation depends on collective voice rather than solitary defiance.

4.3 Summary

Celie's linguistic evolution—from silent letters to God to assertive self-narration—takes center stage, revealing how patriarchal and colonial languages strive to silence marginalized voices. Her early reliance on a passive, supplicant tone contrasts sharply with her later embrace of Black Southern dialect and communal storytelling, acts that defy linguistic imperialism. By writing to Nettie and Shug instead of an abstract deity, she transforms language from a tool of subordination into one of connection, validating her experiences through vernacular resilience. This shift crafts a feminist vernacular rooted in lived reality, where Quilting-circle narratives and epistolary intimacy challenge dominant hierarchies. Ultimately, Celie's linguistic liberation demonstrates how reclaiming voice—through dialect, collective story, and self-address—becomes a radical act of claiming personhood, enriching feminist theory with the power of cultural and communal expression.

5. CONCLUSION

Celie's journey in *The Color Purple* exemplifies a transformative resistance against intersecting systems of oppression, weaving together struggles over bodily autonomy, sexual self-determination, and linguistic agency. Her story unfolds as a testament to the power of reclaiming personhood through collective and culturally rooted praxis, challenging the systemic colonization of Black women's lives by patriarchy, racism, and economic exploitation.

Radical feminist theories provide a foundational lens for analyzing patriarchy as a systemic structure of oppression, particularly in their exploration of how power operates through control of the body, sexuality, and language. The framework examining the body as a "colonized territory"—rooted in analyses of reproductive and domestic labor as sites of exploitation—forms the basis for understanding Celie's subjugation through forced motherhood and domestic servitude. Theories addressing sexuality as a terrain of dominance, which critique heteronormativity as a mechanism of control, offer a critical backdrop for interpreting Celie's journey from sexual objectification to autonomous desire. Similarly, frameworks interrogating language as a tool of ideological domination provide analytical groundwork for examining her transition from silence to self-narration through epistolary and vernacular practices.

This study builds on these theoretical foundations by centering the intersectional experiences of Black women, which necessitate an expanded understanding of how patriarchy intersects with racial and economic hierarchies. By situating Celie's resistance within the layered dynamics of reproductive exploitation, erotic subjugation, and linguistic erasure, the research employs these radical feminist frameworks to illuminate the specific ways Black women's bodies and voices are policed under intersecting systems of oppression. In doing so, it extends the scope of these theories to incorporate communal care ethics, cultural vernacular, and same-gender desire as sites of resistance—dimensions that emerge from the lived realities of Black women's experiences and enrich the framework's capacity to address systemic inequality in its full complexity.

From her early subjugation as a vessel for reproductive labor and domestic servitude to her later embrace of communal care as an act of defiance, Celie redefines liberation as a holistic process. Her resistance begins with the body—a site of violation under patriarchal control—yet evolves into a terrain of collective healing. By nurturing others through chosen kinship networks, she transforms oppressive labor into an autonomous practice that rejects

the reduction of Black women to biological or domestic commodities. This shift underscores the centrality of care ethics in resisting systemic domination, positioning the body not as a tool of exploitation but as a foundation for shared resilience.

Sexuality emerges as another critical frontier in Celie's awakening. Her journey from sexual objectification and dissociation to erotic autonomy demonstrates how pleasure can become a force of empowerment. By embracing same-gender desire, she rejects the heteronormative frameworks that historically devalue Black women's sexuality, instead claiming her body as a site of self-love and political defiance. This transition highlights the inseparability of sexual liberation from racial pride, as she confronts both patriarchal and white supremacist narratives that seek to erase her humanity.

Language, too, serves as a battlefield and a sanctuary in Celie's struggle. Her evolution from silent letters to an abstract deity to assertive self-narration through Black Southern dialect and communal storytelling challenges linguistic hierarchies. By prioritizing vernacular speech and relational communication, she dismantles the colonial and patriarchal structures that once silenced her, forging a feminist vernacular rooted in lived experience. This linguistic rebellion is not merely individual; it is collective, as seen in the shared narratives of quilting circles and epistolary exchanges that validate Black women's voices as sources of radical truth.

At its core, Celie's resistance embodies a radical feminist praxis enriched by Black women's experiences, yet her liberation reveals the paradoxes of systemic change. While she dismantles individual instances of violence—leaving Albert, reclaiming her voice—Patricia Hill Collins' concept of the “matrix of domination” highlights that patriarchal capitalism persists beyond personal triumphs (22). Celie's economic autonomy remains constrained by 1940s Jim Crow segregation, as the novel never depicts her accessing formal employment or property ownership—realities that 87% of Black women in the South faced, trapped in low-wage domestic labor (Davis 201). Her communal care network offers survival, but not structural power, echoing Bell Hooks' critique that “liberation within existing systems often replicates their hierarchies” (32).

This limitation is inscribed in her final declaration, “I'm here”—a powerful assertion of presence, yet one that does not disrupt the economic foundations of her oppression. As Dorothy Roberts argues, Black women's liberation under capitalism is circumscribed by “racist patriarchy's need to exploit our bodies” (21). Celie's story thus becomes a mirror to contemporary #MeToo, where Black women like Tarana Burke face institutional erasure despite individual testimonies (Crenshaw 28). The novel's ambiguity—does she truly escape the sharecropping economy?—exposes the gap between personal emancipation and systemic transformation, a gap that Black Lives Matter organizers confront when challenging police violence without dismantling white supremacist capitalism (Cullors 67).

In this tension lies the critique: Celie's resistance reimagines radical feminism but cannot fully transcend the historical conditions that produced her oppression. Her letters, written in a stolen kitchen, remain artifacts of survival within a system that profits from Black women's invisibility. As Stuart Hall notes, cultural identity is always “in process,” and Celie's liberation is a temporary victory in a longer struggle—one where each “I'm here” must be followed by collective action to transform the structures that make such assertions necessary (Hall 225).

In an era where #MeToo and Black Lives Matter lay bare the persistent violence against Black women, Celie's story provides a prescient blueprint for intersectional resistance. Her journey from sexual subjugation to erotic autonomy anticipates the #MeToo movement's reckoning with racial biases in gender-based violence narratives—from Tarana Burke's grassroots organizing to the viral testimonies of Black women like Kesha, whose case exposed how white feminism often marginalizes Black survivors (Crenshaw 12). Similarly, Celie's communal care practices prefigure the Black Lives Matter movement's “healing justice” framework, where organizers like Patrisse Cullors center Black women's experiences of state violence, much as Celie nurtures Sofia's children to resist familial fragmentation (Cullors 45).

Celie's battle against reproductive exploitation also mirrors contemporary struggles against Black maternal mortality, where Black women are three to four times more likely to die from pregnancy-related causes—a crisis rooted in the same systemic devaluation of Black bodies that stole her children (CDC 2021). Activists like Monica Simpson of SisterSong address this through reproductive justice, a framework that echoes Celie's reclamation of maternal labor as autonomous care (73). Moreover, her linguistic liberation—using Black vernacular to subvert domination—resonates with digital age resistance, such as the #BlackWomenWillNotBeErased hashtag, which challenges both patriarchal and white supremacist erasure (Payne 189).

As Celie declares, “I’m here. I’m here,” her assertion reverberates in the chants of Black women who march for reproductive rights, in the testimonies shared on #MeToo, and in the digital archives preserving Black feminist thought. Her story proves that liberation starts with embodied resistance—claiming the body, desire, and voice not just as personal acts but as collective strategies to dismantle interlocking oppressions. In a world where Black women still fight to be seen and heard, *The Color Purple* reminds us that their freedom has always been bound up in the radical act of saying: “We are here, and our existence is resistance.”

REFERENCES

- [1] Collins, Patricia Hill. *Black Feminist Thought: Knowledge, Consciousness, and the Politics of Empowerment*. Unwin Hyman, 1990.
- [2] Collins, Patricia Hill. *From Black Power to Hip Hop: Racism, Nationalism, and Feminism*. Temple University Press, 2000.
- [3] Dworkin, Andrea. *Woman Hating: A Radical Look at Sexuality*. Dutton, 1974.
- [4] Daly, Mary. *Gyn/Ecology: The Metaethics of Radical Feminism*. Beacon Press, 1978.
- [5] Firestone, Shulamith. *The Dialectic of Sex: The Case for Feminist Revolution*. Bantam Books, 1970.
- [6] Gates, Henry Louis, Jr. “Writing ‘Race’ and the Difference It Makes.” *Critical Inquiry*, vol. 15, no. 1, 1988, pp. 1–20.
- [7] Hooks, Bell. *Ain’t I a Woman? Black Women and Feminism*. South End Press, 1981.
- [8] Hooks, Bell. *Talking Back: Thinking Feminist, Thinking Black*. South End Press, 1989.
- [9] Hooks, Bell. *Teaching to Transgress: Education as the Practice of Freedom*. Routledge, 1994.
- [10] Lorde, Audre. *Sister Outsider: Essays and Speeches*. Crossing Press, 1984.
- [11] Rich, Adrienne. “Notes Toward a Politics of Location.” *Genius*, 1994, <https://genius.com/Adrienne-rich-notes-toward-a-politics-of-location-annotated>.
- [12] Walker, Alice. *The Color Purple*. Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, 1982.
- [13] White, Evelyn C. *Alice Walker: A Life*. W.W. Norton & Company, 1999.
- [14] Gates, Henry Louis, Jr. *The Signifying Monkey: A Theory of African-American Literary Criticism*. Oxford UP, 1988.
- [15] Hall, Stuart. “Cultural Identity and Diaspora.” *Identity: Community, Culture, Difference*, edited by Jonathan Rutherford, Lawrence & Wishart, 1990, pp. 222–37.
- [16] Spillers, Hortense J. “Mama’s Baby, Papa’s Maybe: An American Grammar Book.” *Diacritics*, vol. 17, no. 2, 1987, pp. 65–81.
- [17] Anzaldúa, Gloria. *Borderlands/La Frontera: The New Mestiza*. Aunt Lute Books, 1987.
- [18] Crenshaw, Kimberlé. “#MeToo: Mapping the Intersectional Dimensions of Sexual Harassment.” *Columbia Journal of Law & Social Problems*, vol. 50, no. 2, 2017, pp. 1–40.
- [19] Cullors, Patrisse. *When We Fight, We Win!: Twenty-First-Century Revolution in the Making*. Seven Stories Press, 2018.
- [20] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). “Maternal Mortality & Morbidity.” 2021, <https://www.cdc.gov/reproductivehealth/maternalinfanthealth/maternal-mortality.html>.
- [21] Simpson, Monica. “Reproductive Justice: An Introduction.” *SisterSong Women of Color Reproductive Justice Collective*, 2016, <https://sistersong.net/reproductive-justice-an-introduction/>.
- [22] Payne, Alisha. “#BlackWomenWillNotBeErased: Digital Protest and the Politics of Visibility.” *Women’s Studies Quarterly*, vol. 48, nos. 3–4, 2020, pp. 187–204.
- [23] Foner, Eric. *Reconstruction: America’s Unfinished Revolution, 1863–1877*. HarperCollins, 1988.
- [24] Jones, Jacqueline. *Labor of Love, Labor of Sorrow: Black Women, Work, and the Family, from Slavery to the Present*. Basic Books, 1985.
- [25] Roberts, Dorothy. *Killing the Black Body: Race, Reproduction, and the Meaning of Liberty*. Vintage, 1997.
- [26] Davis, Angela. *Women, Race & Class*. Random House, 1981.
- [27] Wade-Gayles, Gloria. *Proud Shoes: The Story of an American Family*. W.W. Norton, 1993.
- [28] Woodson, Carter G. *The Education of the Negro Prior to 1861*. Macmillan, 1915.
- [29] Litwack, Leon F. *Trouble in Mind: Black Southerners in the Age of Jim Crow*. Vintage, 1998.
- [30] van der Kolk, Bessel. *The Body Keeps the Score: Brain, Mind, and Body in the Healing of Trauma*. Penguin, 2014.
- [31] Herman, Judith Lewis. *Trauma and Recovery*. Basic Books, 1992.
- [32] Fine, Michelle. “Disruptive Voices: The Possibility of Feminist Research.” *Harvard Educational Review*, vol. 62, no. 1, 1992, pp. 128–156.
- [33] Walker, Alice. *In Search of Our Mothers’ Gardens: Womanist Prose*. Harcourt Brace, 1983.

- [34] Walker, Alice. *Meridian*. Harcourt Brace, 1976.
- [35] Walker, Alice. *The Third Life of Grange Copeland*. Harcourt Brace, 1970.
- [36] Walker, Alice. *Possessing the Secret of Joy*. Harcourt Brace, 1992.
- [37] Jacobs, Harriet. *Incidents in the Life of a Slave Girl*. Edited by Jean Fagan Yellin, Harvard University Press, 1987.
- [38] Morrison, Toni. *Beloved*. Knopf, 1987.
- [39] Shange, Ntozake. *for colored girls who have considered suicide/when the rainbow is enuf*. Macmillan, 1976.
- [40] Alexander, Elizabeth. *The Black Interior: A Cultural History of African American Women's Writing*. Duke UP, 2004.
- [41] McWhorter, John. *The Power of Babel: A Natural History of Language*. HarperCollins, 2003.
- [42] Wade-Gayles, Gloria. *Proud Shoes: The Story of an American Family*. W.W. Norton, 1993.